

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com**ScienceDirect**

Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 159 (2014) 243 – 247

Procedia
Social and Behavioral Sciences

WCPCG 2014

Age Schemas and Their Contribution to Age Identity in The Elderly

Olga Kuryшева^{a*}^a*Volgograd State University, Department of psychology, Universitetsky prospect, 100, 400062, Volgograd, Russia*

Abstract

This research studied the content of age schemas and different ways schematic and aschematic individuals identify with age group and themselves. It was documented, that age-schematic individuals believe, that the age influences their physical health, plans for the future and overall attitude to life. Age-schematic individuals experience themselves to be older and less satisfied by the age, than aschematic ones. Comparing the way schematic and aschematic respondents characterize age-group and themselves, it was revealed that the former consider the age group less attractive, identify themselves with a role «the Elderly person» more strongly and characterize themselves using old age negative stereotypes, the latter, on the contrary, demonstrate positive attitude to their age group and themselves.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the Academic World Education and Research Center.

Keywords: age schemas, age identity, subjective age, age awareness, age group.

1. Introduction

Theoretical and empirical research on schema is one of the most active areas in contemporary psychology. It has been the focus of considerable studies during the second half of the XX-th century (Brewer & Nakamura, 1984; Mandler, 1984; Pace, 1998; Pennington, 2000; Rumelhart, 1980). Brewer and Nakamura (1984) explained schemas as “the unconscious mental structures and processes that underlie the molar aspects of human knowledge and skill” (p. 42). The previous studies prove that schemas represented the previous experience and store it in memory (Rumelhart, 1980). Schemas guide the processing information about concepts, people, events and the self and reflect cultural, individual and situational differences in their understanding (Mandler, 1984). They include norms,

* Olga Kuryшева. Tel.: +7-927-531-28-79.

E-mail address: o.kuryшева@yandex.ru

hypothesis and expectations about people or events and form the image of future. Schemas function as interpretive frameworks and thereby influence evaluations, judgments and inferences on the social world and serve for the adaptation (Fiske & Taylor, 1991). Schemas are the basis of self-defining, social behavior, understanding people and self and solving problems. Schema theory is widely used in psychotherapy thanks to schemas ability to contain information problems and destructions (Pace, 1998). Schemas are determined by sociocultural factors and display on the individual level constructing link between culture and person. Schemas containing knowledge about self are termed self-schemas. Markus (1977) defined self-schemas as "cognitive generalizations about the self, derived from past experience, that organize and guide the processing of self-related information contained in the individual's social experience" (p. 64). Self-schemas reflect self-concept and include the attributes that are important and self-defining for the personality himself. Self-schemas provide implicit personality theories that can be used to understand one's own or others' behaviors. They are the patterns for self-defining and identifying themselves (Rodin, Schooler & Schaie, 1990). The existing researches on self-schemas investigate personal attributes: weight, sexuality, independence, depression, among them age. Age-schemas has been investigated since the middle of the 1990-th. Mueller, Wonderlich and Dugan (1986), were among those who awoke interest to this problem. Analyzing self-descriptions of respondents from different age-groups, they revealed that the respondents used the age-related traits. It was found out that the older the respondent was the more age-related traits he used in his self-description. The authors defined this phenomenon as age schemas. Among the researchers focused on the investigating age schemas is Montepare who defined them as personal constructions containing the age-related information about the self (Montepare, 1996; 2001). The results of her studied documented that age schemas operate like other schemas and guide the processing of information about the self. The main goal of this study is to investigate age schemas and their contribution to age identity. We wanted to investigate the content of age schemas and their relation with age awareness. We wanted to find out the difference in the ways schematic and aschematic individuals identify with age group and themselves.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

The sample was formed by 60 elder persons (older people), among of them 21 men and 39 women, aged between 55 and 69 years ($M = 58.3$ $sd = 3.4$). All participants are independent, reside in big industry city, Russian. Most of them live in their flats with family or alone and have relatives. Most of them work or have part-time employment.

2.2. Procedure

The study is conducted in two separate phases separated by two weeks. In the first phase the participants responded the questions demonstrating the influence of age on different aspects of their life and themselves including self-esteem, appearance, physical condition, professional activity, communication with colleagues, friends and relatives, relations with sex partners, plans for the future and overall attitude to life. They were also asked about age they felt themselves and the extended of satisfaction with their age. In addition the participants were asked to name the important typical traits characterizing elder individuals and the important typical traits of person. On the base of the respondents answers the list was formed that included both age-related traits and not. In the second phase this list was suggested to participants. They were asked to evaluate the degree the traits mentioned in the list corresponded to the typical elder person and to themselves.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1 Age Schematicity and Subjective Age Measure

10 age schema scales were used to measure the content of age schemas and the level of age schematicity. The scales were used in existing researches () and were supplemented and designed for the present study. They measured the influence of age on different life aspects including self-esteem, appearance, physical condition, professional

activity, communication with colleagues, friends and relatives, relations with sex partners, plans for the future and overall attitude to life. 5-point scale was used ranging from 1 “no influence” to 5 “strong influence”. The summa of results provided an index of age schematicity. In addition the subjective age and age satisfaction were measured on the base of 5-point scale where 1 corresponded to “younger than my actual age / not satisfied” and 5 corresponded to “older than my actual age / fully satisfied”.

2.3.2 Age Identity Measures

Before the research the participants were asked to name at least three trait adjectives associated with the typical elder person and at least three significant trait adjectives of a person unrelated to age. Basing on the results the list was formed including 70 traits adjectives both age-related and not which occurred more frequently in respondents' answers. 6 age roles were included into the list too. The list was presented to participants twice. First time they had to evaluate the extent to which the enlisted trait adjectives were characteristic of typical elder person (typical member of their age group). After that they evaluated the extent to which the same trait adjectives were typical of themselves. In both cases the 5-point scale was used ranging from 1 “not at all typical” to 5 “very typical”.

2.4. Data Analysis

The data analysis was conducted using SPSS 17.0 Program (Statistical Package for Social Science). The data collected from the first phase were analyzed using descriptive statistical analyses (mean and standard deviation), independent t-test.

3. Results

3.1. Results of the first phase

Descriptive statistics (means and standard deviation) and the correlations appear in Table 1. There is a significant correlation between Schematicity index and Subjective age (among Schematicity index with Subjective age).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and inter-correlations for study variables

| Variable | M | SD | 1 |
|-----------------------|------|------|-------|
| 1. Schematicity Index | 2,88 | 0,85 | - |
| 2. Subjective age | 1,95 | 0,83 | ,48** |
| 3. Age satisfaction | 2,98 | 0,97 | -,27* |

* $p \leq 0,05$ ** $p \leq 0,01$

The data analysis showed that most significant components of age schemas content were physical condition ($M=3,53$), plans for the future ($M=3,53$) and overall attitude to life ($M=3,55$). The results of independent t test showed the difference between men and women in variable Subjective age at the level $p \leq 0,05$ is significant. This means that women experience themselves to be older than men. There is no difference between men and women in schematicity index.

3.2. Results of the second phase

A t-test has been conducted to compare the traits adjectives schematic and aschematic individuals identify with age group and themselves. Results are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. The results of independent t test

| Variable | | | | M | | t | P |
|--------------------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | Schematic | Aschematic | | |
| Age | group | trait | Attractive | 2,83 | 3,58 | 1.899 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| adjectives: | | | Talented | 4,00 | 4,50 | 1.947 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| Self-descriptions: | | | Elderly Person | 3,91 | 2,00 | -1,894 | p ≤ 0,01 |
| | | | Angry | 3,25 | 2,50 | -1.787 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| | | | Vigorous | 3,08 | 3,75 | 2,292 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| | | | Old-fashioned | 2,67 | 1,67 | -2.283 | p ≤ 0,01 |
| | | | Experienced | 2,83 | 3,42 | 1,755 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| | | | Wise | 3,33 | 4,08 | 1,947 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| | | | Old | 2,92 | 1,25 | -2,111 | p ≤ 0,01 |
| | | | Healthy | 3,50 | 4,00 | 1,732 | p ≤ 0,05 |
| | | | Sick | 3,52 | 2,67 | -2,159 | p ≤ 0,01 |

The results revealed that there was significant difference in self-description between schematic and aschematic individuals. Thus, schematic individuals characterized themselves as more sick ($M=3,52$), angry ($M=3,25$), old ($M=2,92$), old-fashioned ($M=2,67$) and less healthy ($M=3,50$), wise ($M=3,33$), vigorous ($M=3,08$) and experienced ($M=2,83$) than aschematic participants. All trait adjectives mentioned by schematic individuals and evaluated higher belong to negative stereotypes of Elderly.

4. Discussion

The results demonstrate the difference in age awareness between age-schematic and age-aschematic individuals. Age-schematic individuals believe that age influences physical health, plans for the future and overall attitude to life. Age-schematic individuals experience themselves to be older and less satisfied by the age, than aschematic ones. Comparing the way schematic and aschematic respondents characterize age-group and themselves, it was revealed that the former consider the age group less attractive, identify themselves with a role «the Elderly person» more strongly and characterized themselves using old age negative stereotypes (old, sick, old-fashioned, angry etc.), the latter, on the contrary, demonstrate positive attitude to their age group and themselves. The obtained results show that age schematicity reveals more on the individual level than on the group level. Age-related negative traits prevail on the individual level, inside the age-group the ambivalent tendencies are being displayed. Results of the current study indicate that age schemas act like other schemas and guide the processing of information about the self. These findings are consistent with some previous studies (Montepare, 1996; 2001). In addition to the previous studies it is documented that age schemas guide the processing of negative stereotypes more about the self, than about age-group.

References

- Brewer, W. F., & Nakamura, G. V. (1984). The nature and function of schemas. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Fiske, S.T. & Taylor, S.E. (1991). *Social Cognition: from brains to culture*. - New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mandler, J.M. (1984) Stories, Scripts, and Scenes: Aspects of Schema Theory. Hillsdale, New Jersey London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Markus, H. (1977). Self-schemata and processing information about the self. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35, 2, 63-78.
- Markus, H., Crane, M, Bernstein, S. & Siladi, M. (1982) Self-schemas and gender. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 42 (1), 38-50.
- Montepare J.M. & Clements A. (2001). "Age schemas": guides to processing information about the self *Journal of Adult Development*, 8, 2, 99-108.
- Montepare J.M. (1996). Variations in adults' subjective ages in relation to birthday nearness, age awareness, and attitudes toward aging. *Journal of Adult Development*, 8, 4, 193-203.
- Mueller J.H., Wonderlich S. & Dugan K. (1986). Self-referent processing of age-specific material *Psychology and Aging*, 1, 4, 293-299.
- Pace, T.M (1998) Schema Theory: A Framework for Research and Practice in Psychotherapy. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy*. 2(3), 147-63.
- Pennington D. (2000) *Social Cognition*. – London: Routledge.

- Rodin J., Schooler C., Schaie K. (1990) Self-Directedness: Cause and Effects throughout the Life Course. – Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Rumelhart, D.E. (1980) Schemata: the building blocks of cognition. In: R.J. Spiro et al. (eds) *Theoretical Issues in Reading Comprehension*, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum. P. 33-59
- Strunk, W., Jr., & White, E. B. (1979). *The elements of style* (3rd ed.). New York: MacMillan.